

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/686,569	10/17/2003	Kenichi Osada	500.35578CC5	8960
20457	7590 04/13/2004		EXAM	INER
	LI, TERRY, STOUT &	но, но	HO, HOAI V	
1300 NORTH SUITE 1800	I SEVENTEENTH STRI	EET	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	N, VA 22209-9889	VA 22209-9889 2818		
			DATE MAN ED. 04/12/200	<u>,</u>

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			VIV			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/686,569	OSADA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Hoai V. Ho	2818				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence addre	988			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of third ind will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this comm ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12	7 October 2003.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ T	his action is non-final.					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on 17 October 2003 is/s Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the con 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ o the drawing(s) be held in abeyar rection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 08/906,883. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB. Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/03.	Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-1	52)			

Application/Control Number: 10/686,569 Page 2

Art Unit: 2818

This office acknowledges receipt of the following items from the Applicant:
 Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) was considered.
 Papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d) have been placed of record in the file.

2. Claims 1-8 are presented for examination.

Double Patenting

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

4. Claims 1-8 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-7 of U.S. Patent No. 6515894. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because

Application/Control Number: 10/686,569 Page 3

Art Unit: 2818

claim of the instant application recites that a "second pair of wiring lines coupled to a write amplifier" while claim 1 of '894 recites that a "second wiring ... across the first and second blocks" without a write amplifier. However, claim 4 of '894 recites that a "writing operation is performed using said second wiring line." Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized that the second wiring in claim 1 of '894 is used for the writing operation and it could be connected to the write amplifier in order to amplify an input signal before storing into a memory cell.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "said third pair" in line 14. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Should it be changed to –said second pair-- for clarifying?

Claims 2-8 are rejected due to the rejections of its parent claim.

- 6. When responding to the office action, Applicants are advised to provide the examiner with the line numbers and page numbers in the application and/or references cited to assist the examiner to locate the appropriate paragraphs.
- 7. A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 3 (three) months and 0 (zero) day from the date of this letter. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned (see MPEP 710.02 (b)).

Application/Control Number: 10/686,569 Page 4

Art Unit: 2818

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to whose telephone number is (571) 272-1777. Other inquiries of this application should be called to (571) 272-1562 or the fax number (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Н. Но

March 15, 2004

Hoai V. Ho

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2818